

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/772,994	MORIZANE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian L. Mutschler	1753	

All Participants:

 (1) Brian L. Mutschler.

 (2) Michele Connell.
Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 July 2004
Time: 11:40am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

5 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Brian L. Mutschler 7/26/2004
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Connell was contacted to suggest the replacement of the amended limitations of claims 5 and 10 with the limitations that were indicated allowable in the Office action mailed January 9, 2004. Ms. Connell approved the changes, which will be incorporated by Examiner's amendment.